



Short messaging services and educational information sharing by students in Nigerian universities

Educational
information
sharing

235

Williams E. Nwagwu

Africa Regional Centre for Information Science, University of Ibadan, Nigeria

Abstract

Purpose – The purpose of this study is to address how media gratification variables and constraints of global system for mobile communications (GSM) technology promote or inhibit use of short messaging services (SMS) for sharing educational information by students in Nigerian universities.

Design/methodology/approach – A questionnaire was used to collect data from 1,676 undergraduate and postgraduate students randomly selected from three major Nigerian universities. Data were analysed using factor and regression analyses.

Findings – The instrumental gratifications of SMS capability to enable persons to escape face-to-face communication, and its convenience and low cost explain the popularity of the use of SMS to make contact for educational reasons, although this activity is constrained by the difficulty to decipher the intention of the messages and the confusion arising from unclear acronyms used by texters.

Research limitations/implications – Further research might focus on content analysis. What exactly is the information the students share with themselves and others?

Practical implications – The result of this research is critical in the design and deployment of mobile learning technologies in Nigerian universities.

Originality/value – There is no empirical evidence of how students use SMS despite the existence of mobile learning projects in Nigerian universities.

Keywords Short messaging services, SMS, Nigeria, Mobile communication, Texting, Learning, Mobile communication systems

Paper type Research paper

Introduction

The mobile phone was invented in 1973, but its size, efficiency, power economy and low-cost small packet exchange technology and others, have made its penetration and diffusion the fastest, in comparison with other technologies (Leung, 2007). The short messaging service (SMS) of the technology was introduced in Europe in 1991, but it has developed into a major form of interpersonal mediated communication. SMS supports the sending and receiving of, not only texts, but also images, animation and sound originated or received by short messaging entities such as mobile phones, servers and personal computers (McAdams, 2006). SMS also supports e-mail addresses; messages can be sent and received instantly through a mobile phone, a fixed line phone or over the internet. In addition to messaging simple text strings, some

The 2008/2009 postgraduate class of the Africa Regional Centre for Information Science, University of Ibadan collected the data from the University of Ibadan students as part of their continuous assessment exercise in Quantitative Techniques. Gompil Gambo and John Uchemadu coordinated data collection at the Ahmadu Bello University and University of Nigeria respectively. The three datasets were merged and analysed to develop this present result; to these contributors, the author is very grateful.



mobile networks also enable multimedia messaging service, which include combinations of texts, voice, animated graphics, photos and video clips. SMS has many advantages over other products of the technology and forms of communication. It is devoid of weighty social structure and external surveillance and permits direct, non-place-based, immediate and casual contact, enhancing communication in a manner that might not be feasible face to face or by telephone. Wellman (2001) captured in his observation that mobile phones afford a fundamental liberation from place. Their use shifts community ties from linking people-in-places to linking people wherever they are. Because the connection is to the person and not to the place, it shifts the dynamics of connectivity from places – typically households or worksites – to individuals.

In higher educational institutions, SMS has enhanced communication and information exchange in education by students, lecturers and authorities (Leung, 2007). It is being applied to manage feedback systems among students, lecturers and administration; news items and events, enrollment information, internship opportunities and grade results can be passed to students through SMS. SMS can also be used to alert students of events like job placements, contact specific students, send grades to students and notify students of results awaiting collection. Also, students may use the technology to seek advice from peers regarding lecture schedules and venues, as well as scheduling meetings, among others. Student unions and similar organizations in universities can use SMS to conduct voting, schedule meetings with students and send out promotional information. For those students who are far away from their parents or guardians, they may rely on SMS for communicating educational information with their family/relatives. Students also need to communicate educational issues with their lecturers; they may need to book meeting appointments, clarify issues and get information about educational issues.

In this study, the focus is on how media gratification and constraint variables relate to use of text messaging to meet educational needs by undergraduate and postgraduate students in Nigerian universities. In pursuing this objective, the following questions were addressed: what are the educational purposes for which students in Nigerian universities use SMS? What are the major gratifications that motivate students' use of SMS? What are the perceived constraints of SMS use? How do the demographic, gratification and constraint factors influence educational use of SMS among Nigerian students?

Literature review

Theoretical perspectives

SMS as a medium of communication fits exactly the uses and gratification (U&G) perspective of Blumler and Katz (1974). The perspective is concerned with whether a technology use characteristics promote and foster individual and personal goal rather than merely enhancing exchange of messages. According to Palmgreen *et al.* (1985) a major issue in the U&G approach is that the audience participates actively in media selection and use, and that personal characteristics of the audience members and motivations shape choices and applications. Active audience has implications for utility – the uses people have for communication, intentionality or prior motivation that directs communication behavior, and, selectivity or prior interest and desires that affect communication choices and content. Put differently, audiences seek certain gratifications from communication media and the potential of a media to satisfy these gratifications motivate the use of any particular media. Satisfaction of certain

psychological cognitive and emotional needs (Maslow, 1970), such as surveillance, information seeking, entertainment, personal identity or companionship (Dimmick *et al.*, 1994; Lin, 1998) will, for instance, influence choice of communication media.

Several studies such as Peters *et al.* (2003); Harris *et al.* (2005) and Donna *et al.* (2007) used gratification theory to examine a wide range of SMS use motives. Using the perspective also, Leung (2007) summarized broad motivations of SMS use and they included information exchange, conversation and socializing, information viewing, entertainment, information and education, escape and diversion, reassurance, fashion and status and communication medium appeal.

There are other studies which used innovation adoption theory to examine ways in which everyday life activities influence mobile phone use and to a certain extent SMS usage. SMS is a robust, easy and cheap instant messaging technology, and it supports many different users from everywhere and provides access to the services from almost everywhere – while on transit, in group, alone and other, without intrusion into anyone's conveniences.

Mobile communication and texting in Nigeria

Nigeria adopted the mobile communication technology in 1999, but has presently become a major telecommunication hub in Africa. International Telecommunications Union revealed that between 2000 and 2006, the number of mobile subscriptions in Africa increased more than 12 times, from 15.6 million to 189.4 million, representing approximately 62 percent of total mobile and fixed line telephone subscriptions (Nigerian Telecommunications Report, 2008). Nigeria made a major contribution in this figure. According to Information Economic Report (2007-2008) of United Nations Conference on Trade and Development, Nigeria has Africa's fastest growing mobile markets with a 125 percent average annual growth rate in the number of subscribers for mobile and fixed lines. Nigeria's telephone subscription figures rose to about 45.7 million in the first quarter of 2008 to become Africa's largest market, overtaking South Africa, and rising 10 percent compared to 7 percent for Africa as a whole. According to Nigerian Telecommunications Report (2008), Nigeria's mobile market gained more than 11.3 million new customers in the first half of 2008, expanding by 28 percent to reach 51.73 million users. By the end of June 2008, mobile penetration in Nigeria had exceeded 33 percent. In its five-year mobile growth forecast for Nigeria (2008-2012) the report envisages a growth rate of 56 percent in 2008, and predicts that the number of customers will rise to over 63 million by the end of the year. Also, by the end of the forecast period in 2012, the report predicts a total market of 163 million customers, which is equivalent to nearly 94 percent penetration.

Linking up with people for social reasons is important for everybody, but most particularly to students, some of who live outside their homes and are disconnected from parents, siblings and some of their peers, and SMS meets this need. In the USA and Hong Kong, the use of telephone for this purpose has reached over 100 percent (Office of the Telecommunications Authority (OFTA), 2005). In Nigeria, many businesses are using SMS to link their customers. For instance, courier companies use SMS to track information about postal packages, while travel agencies use it to provide flight-status updates. The banks have also found ways of benefiting from SMS technology. All banks in Nigeria offer customers regular updates on their account balances some send stock quotes to their clients, while others send messages to their customers when any unusual activity is detected on their accounts. Streicher (2008)

observed that African companies are leading the way in developing innovative uses of SMS especially for banking services, person-to-person messaging and local economic development.

While the foregoing observations indicate heavy deployment of SMS in African countries, SMS statistics appear to be only maintained by individual telecommunication service providers and the National Communication Commission and not by any national or non-government agency. This is not the situation in Hong Kong (Leung, 2007) and the USA (www.statmine.com) where SMS traffic is monitored and the statistics made available to those who may need them for research and other purposes.

Age, gender and socioeconomic issues in SMS use

Much of the research on digital divide has paid significant attention to relationship between use of modern technologies and demographic and social and economic status of individuals (Rice and Katz, 2003). Also, adoption of a given new technology and the embedded facilities they have is influenced by the constraints and opportunities that these new technologies bring. Those, combined with the final user needs, will always lead to dissimilar appropriation processes by prospective users in different communities. There is strong evidence that user groups of different age, sex, locality, culture and socioeconomic capital have shown different media usages.

Leung *et al.* (2007) used discriminant analysis method to show that student SMS users in Hong Kong were more likely to be male than female. In a different study, Muhammad (n.d.) showed that there is a significant difference between males' and females' lexical and morpho-syntactical choices in cell phone messaging and there is also no significant difference between their perceptions about influence of SMS on language of commercials. In their study, Peters *et al.* (2003) showed that male and female users do not differ with respect to the number of messages sent, but that female users are apparently more enthusiastic about using SMS as a means of communication than male users. They also assessed whether SMS motives are related to age, gender, current education, mobile phone experience, SMS experience and SMS use and located four types of motives for using SMS: entertainment, social interaction, immediate access and efficiency. They discovered that immediate access and social interaction were most salient and more often endorsed by young people than entertainment and efficiency and that the mean for SMS experience for male users suggests a more extensive SMS experience than female users.

SMS is cheap but requires some physical and mental abilities and some free time for the user to become efficient in its use. Access to some expendable income is also a very important variable. Students who have higher allowances from their parents and guardians or from other sources are therefore most likely to text more than their counterparts who do not have the same privileges. In view of the finding that educational status positively predicts income (Okuwa, 2004), wards of highly educated persons might have access to higher maintenance allowance, and this might also reflect on their SMS spending. As elsewhere, youth in Nigeria are more adept at experimenting with new technologies than their older counterparts (Nwagwu, 2007). Generally, youth have substantial spending power, combined with having a lower depth of interaction than older people (Sutherland and Thompson, 2001). In contrast, a voice call can be easily done and might not require much of special skills. Young adults may therefore be more likely to develop SMS texting skills than older persons.

Older people may focus their relationships around family and close friends and have smaller social networks, while the youth usually have a wide network.

Nwagwu (2009) showed in a study of students at the University of Ibadan in Nigeria that internet skill is significantly associated with level of study. Inability to use the internet decreases with increasing educational levels, although ability to use the same does not increase with increasing levels of education. To the extent that younger adults and adolescents are more adept at using technologies (Tappscott, 1998); use of SMS may decrease with increasing level of education. Many studies show that young adults use SMS for flirting and romantic purposes (Leung, 2007); young adults who are living with their parents might likely use less of SMS than those living on their own in the hostels or elsewhere, just as the married might also have less time and convenience to use SMS for this purpose. Lack of statistics of SMS in Nigeria and in other developing countries (Livingstone, 2002) might account for lack of data regarding the interaction between demographic factors and mobile phone technology adoption and use. Mobile phone has become a youth culture, and the characteristics of youth facilitate mobile diffusion among them, an observation that should make the subject of educational uses of the technology an interesting one.

What are the constraining factors in using SMS?

Like all other innovations, SMS is also fraught with its own difficulties. One of the major problems is that standard language is not used, because the technology is suited for short messages only. Texters devise their own ways of communicating information with their peers and this includes use of shortened forms of words and symbols based mainly on sounds and symbols. Shortened words and use of symbols often have implication that the intended meaning of texts may not be understood by recipients of texts. Although Muhammad has studied differentials in males' and females' lexical and morpho-syntactical choices in cell phone messaging, another study will be required to establish the effect of this development on language skill development of young people, who are the most inclined to using the technology.

Although SMS is cheap relative to phone calls, those who are addicted to SMS may be spending so much sending texts as well as wasting of precious time. There also exist environmental-specific challenges such as low service quality which often accounts for delayed delivery of messages, detracting from the instant messaging expectation of the technology. This is very common in Nigeria where there is evidence that text messages sometimes take a long time before being delivered. A factor that could discourage some people, particularly the older ones, from using SMS is the smallness of screens and keypads of handsets (Johansen and Hansen, 2003). Apart from straining the eyes, writing or reading the small size characters are complicated by the new text language encyclopedia which will require some new literacy for older people to comprehend and use SMS efficiently.

Educational communication uses of SMS

Evidences abound that SMS is already being deployed to meet educational needs in Nigeria and elsewhere. In the Philippines, SMS is students' favorite means of communication with faculty and other students (Pabico, 2003; Mariano and De La Rosa, 2004). A study by Nonyongo *et al.* (2005) in the University of South Africa established the critical role of SMS by students in South Africa. Many universities design SMS information systems to enhance communication between students and staff, and to meet other communication needs too. For instance, the Makerere

University in Uganda acquired a software called Broadcast System, similar to the Chikka Network in the Philippines (Mariano and De La Rosa, 2004), which administers SMS instant messaging to the mobile phones or e-mail addresses of students. In Nigeria, SMS is also fast becoming an educational communication tool. global system for mobile communications (GSM) numbers constitute part of the data students supply while processing admission or registration in the three universities in this study. At the University of Ibadan, postgraduate applicants are notified of the success of their applications through bulk SMS, but SMS appears to be working better in the universities in scheduling of meetings, distribution of reminders about meetings and related activities. A very important aspect of use SMS by students is how the technology enables students informally exchange educational information among themselves, a research that has not been undertaken.

Methods

Study area

The study focusses on undergraduate and postgraduate students in universities in Nigeria. According to the National Universities Commission of Nigeria, there were 94 private, mission and public universities in the country as at the end of 2008, and these universities are spread around the 36 states of the federation. Although there are indications of differences in the sociodemographic characteristics of these universities and their students, the older universities are the largest, and requirements for securing admission into them are also more stringent than the newer ones. The new universities are mainly cosmopolitan in nature and their prohibitive costs are often met by students of affluent backgrounds, but they are known to be academically poorly staffed, and deliver lower quality of education than the older ones (Erinoso, 2008). The pattern of choice of institutions of learning among prospective students in the country seems to follow the path that students first attempt gaining admission into older universities first before they opt for the new universities. By implication therefore, older universities are constituted of students whose social status cut across all strata of the Nigerian society, and would suffice in the study.

Sample and sampling procedure

Three large, geographically central and oldest universities were purposively selected: Ahmadu Bello University in Zaria in the arid, Islamic and mainly Hausa speaking North; University of Nigeria, Nsukka in the Igbo speaking and Christian-dominated East, and University of Ibadan, Nigeria's first and largest tertiary located in the Yoruba speaking West, consisting of a mixture of Christians and Moslems. Nigeria's educational and cultural diversity is sufficiently demonstrated by the location of these universities in the major tribes that account for the largest population of the country, and which also reflect major differentiating characteristics of people living in the various regions. Furthermore, the size and high reputation of the selected universities in comparison with the more recent ones, and their central locations make them major choices for higher education among youths across socioeconomic status. Considering a strategy to facilitate easy access to the respondents as well as ensure the inclusion of students from different academic departments and levels of study led the researchers to choose main and off campus hostels. At least 10 percent of the total number of students in each tertiary institution was targeted. At the end of the exercise, 622 students were successfully recruited from the University of Ibadan, 576 from Ahmadu Bello University and 478 from University of Nigeria, Nsukka.

Data collection

Data were collected from the students using a questionnaire in February 2009. For face validity, the questionnaire was circulated to lecturers at the Africa Regional Centre for Information Science of the University of Ibadan for their comment and observations. The observations were mainly regarding the large number of questions in the form, and they were reduced according to their suggestions. After permission was sought and obtained from the hall authorities, the questionnaire was personally handed to students. It was practically difficult to construct a reliable sampling frame of students due to very long protocols and unwillingness of relevant authorities to cooperate in this regard. This deficiency is, however, cancelled by the largeness of the size of respondents in the study.

Measures

To establish which of the gratifications as well as educational uses of SMS and constraints of the technology fit into our study environment, two focus groups consisting of 60 students of the Africa Regional Centre for Information Science and Faculty of Education both of the University of Ibadan were constituted in two different sessions, and each session lasted for about two hours. The participants did not know about the research questions and did not also participate in the survey.

SMS gratifications. Based on Leung's (2007) study, 26 possible gratifications were listed. After the FGD sessions, the following categories of gratifications were arrived at: affection, escape, convenience, entertainment and coordination, and components constituting each of these groups were identified and cast in 20 statements. A five-point Likert scale, from 1 = strongly disagree to 5 = strongly agree, was used to rate each of the reasons.

Educational use. The FGD also yielded four major categories of educational uses of SMS by students to exchange educational information with peers, contact family/relatives about educational needs, communicate educational issues with lecturers and seek advice on educational issues from any other sources. A dichotomous scale guided data collection on this variable.

Constraints of SMS. To assess the perception of the respondents on the limitations of SMS, participants in the FGD were asked to identify their perceived constraints of the use the SMS. Four groups of constraints, namely: confusing acronyms, intention difficult to understand, timing and ergonomics were agreed upon. A total of 11 statements were finally constructed from the four groups, and the opinions of the respondents were collected using a five-point Likert scale, with 1 = strongly disagree and 5 = strongly agree.

Statistical analysis

Principal component analysis was used to build a structure for the variables in each of the five groups of the gratification variables as well as the four groups of the constraint variables, adopting varimax rotation approach to account for expected correlations among potential factors. A regression analysis was finally carried out to examine how gratification variables, constraints and demographic variables predicted each of the education uses.

Results

Demographic characteristics of the respondents

A total of 1,676 copies of the questionnaire were distributed, and 1,290 copies were completed and returned, a considerably very high return rate of 77 percent, given that the survey was unsolicited. Table I shows that the overall mean age of the respondents was 22.4 years (SD = 1.10), but more males (60.5 percent) with a mean age of 23 years,

Variable	Measurement	Frequency	%	Cumulative percent
Age (years)	≤ 18	138	10.7	10.7
	19-24	672	52.1	62.8
	25-30	351	27.2	90.0
	31-36	80	6.2	96.2
	≥ 36	49	3.8	100.0
Gender	Male	780	60.5	60.5
	Female	510	39.5	100.0
Highest educational status of father	None	50	3.9	3.9
	Primary	70	5.4	9.3
	Secondary	189	14.7	24.0
	Tertiary	981	76	100.0
Highest educational status of mother	None	68	5.3	5.3
	Primary	117	9.1	14.3
	Secondary	259	20.1	34.4
	Tertiary	840	65.5	100.0
Occupation of father	Self-employed	550	42.6	42.6
	Private sector	199	15.4	58.1
	Public sector	494	38.3	96.4
	Unemployed	47	3.6	100.0
Which parent are you closest to	Father	357	27.7	27.7
	Mother	839	65.0	92.7
	Other	94	7.3	100.0
Occupation of mother	Self-employed	634	49.1	49.1
	Private sector	145	11.2	60.4
	Public sector	476	36.9	97.3
	Unemployed	35	2.7	100.0
Your level of study	PhD	60	4.7	4.7
	Master's/PGD	319	24.7	29.4
	Undergraduate	911	70.6	100.0
Marital status	Married	123	9.5	9.5
	Single	1,163	90.2	99.7
	Divorced	4	0.3	100.0
Sponsorship type	Parents	1,122	87.0	87.0
	Self	108	8.4	95.3
	Scholarship	18	1.4	96.7
	Others	42	3.3	100.0
Living type	Living in hostel	1,055	81.8	81.8
	Living off hostel (campus)	169	13.1	94.9
	Living off hostel (parents)	66	5.1	100.0

Table I.
Demographic
characteristics of the
respondents

than females (39.5 percent) with a mean age of 21.8 years, participated in the study. Most of the respondents were single, and most of their fathers and mothers had tertiary education, and were self-employed. Most of the respondents reported being closer to their mothers than their fathers; they were mainly undergraduate who live in the hostel and were mainly sponsored by their parents.

Use and means of SMS received and sent

On a dichotomous scale, inquiries about use frequency were guided by two questions, namely: "Do you send SMS texts" and "Do you receive SMS texts." More respondents (97.78 percent) reported receiving SMS than those who sent (95.98 percent). Spearman

rank correlation analysis was used to establish that there is a significant association between these two variables ($r = 0.780$, $p = 0.002$), for which reason the two variables were aggregated to read “Do you use SMS texts,” and 96.81 percent reported that they use SMS. Further understanding of use frequency could be established by undertaking an analysis of daily use of SMS in Table II.

	Variables	Mean texts received per day	Mean texts received yesterday	Mean texts sent per day	Mean texts sent yesterday
Age (years)	<18	3.91	3.30	2.62	2.03
	19-24	3.09	2.69	2.53	1.72
	25-30	3.32	2.75	2.87	2.26
	31-36	3.58	3.41	2.91	2.91
	36 +	2.65	2.04	2.37	1.06
Gender	Male	2.67	2.28	2.41	1.80
	Female	4.15	3.57	3.02	2.17
Educational status of father	None	2.28	2.62	1.68	1.18
	Primary	3.50	1.87	2.26	1.70
	Secondary	2.55	2.10	2.14	1.53
	Tertiary	3.46	3.11	2.84	2.05
Educational status of mother	None	2.07	1.68	1.78	0.97
	Primary	3.21	2.10	2.68	1.97
	Secondary	3.36	2.61	2.66	1.91
	Tertiary	3.71	3.44	2.94	2.22
Occupation of father	Self-employed	2.93	2.67	2.50	1.91
	Private sector	3.99	3.24	3.24	2.35
	Public sector	3.36	2.77	2.63	1.84
	Unemployed	2.74	2.64	2.11	1.77
Parents closest to	Father	3.15	2.57	2.19	1.63
	Mother	3.34	2.88	2.87	2.15
	Other	2.88	2.84	2.44	1.33
Occupation of mother	Self-employed	3.33	2.73	2.75	2.06
	Private sector	3.09	2.88	2.84	1.85
	Public sector	3.17	2.89	2.41	1.80
	Unemployed	3.57	2.31	3.31	2.34
Level of study	PhD	3.03	3.07	3.18	2.82
	Master's/PGD	3.07	2.71	2.58	1.93
	Undergraduate	3.33	2.80	2.63	1.90
Living type	Living in hostel	3.24	2.71	2.64	1.91
	Outside parents	3.51	3.22	2.77	2.30
	With parents	2.82	3.11	2.45	1.73
Marital status	Married	2.85	2.40	2.55	1.77
	Single	3.30	2.83	2.66	1.96
	Divorced	2.50	3.00	2.50	3.00
Sponsorship type	Parents	3.21	2.79	2.62	1.93
	Self	3.38	2.77	2.59	2.29
	Scholarship	2.67	2.78	2.72	1.78
	Others	4.21	2.93	3.43	1.74
Total		3.25	2.79	2.65	1.95

Table II.
Daily use of SMS

Respondents received an average of 3.25 messages in one day, but received only 2.79 the previous day. But respondents sent an average of 2.65 messages in one day, but reported sending only 1.95 the previous day. The mean distribution of SMS sent and received by the various demographic characteristics is also shown in Table III.

Level of use of SMS

This question was examined by asking the respondents “How often do you send SMS text?” and “How often do you receive SMS text?” Table III shows that respondents received more SMS than they sent. A major explanation is often associated with unsolicited messages which have become very common – coming from advertisers of goods and services and telecommunication service operators themselves, among others. Altogether, 90 percent received texts often while 82 percent sent often. Fewer respondents reported never receiving (0.5 percent) and not often receiving (9.2 percent) than those who reported never sending (1.6 percent) and not often sending (15.9 percent).

Level of use was also examined by categorizing the distribution of daily texts received and sent as low users – those who received or sent one to 20 texts in one day, and heavy users – those who received or sent above 20 texts in one day. About 70 percent of the respondents (or 1,167) were receivers and 91 percent of them (or 1,060) received texts yesterday. About 67 percent (or 1,118) were senders, but more than this number 105 percent (or 1,175) sent mails yesterday. Of the 1,167 receivers, 99.2 percent were moderate receivers (one to 20 texts), and they received an average of 3.36 texts and a maximum of 20 in one day, while 0.8 percent were heavy receivers, and they received an average of 34.44 and a maximum of 40 texts in one day, and 0.8 percent of them did not receive texts yesterday. All the senders ($N=1,118$) were moderate senders, and they sent an average of 3.05 texts and a maximum of 20 texts in one day. Of the 70 percent of respondents (or 799) who reported sending texts yesterday, 67.8 percent were moderate senders and they sent an average of 3.05 texts and a maximum of 20 texts the previous day. However, the negligible fraction of 0.2 percent (or two respondents) that was heavy senders, sent as high as 40 texts the previous day.

Educational uses of SMS

Figures 1a-d show the frequencies of use of the various educational activities by the students. Using SMS to contact parents/guardians on educational matters is the least reason for which the students use SMS (16 percent). On the other hand, contacting peers for educational matters is the reason why 65 percent of the students use SMS, 63 percent of the students use the technology to contact lecturers while 39 percent other

Table III.
Level of use of SMS

How often do you send ($N=1,284$)			How often do you receive ($N=1,280$)	
	Frequency	%	Frequency	%
Often	1,058	82.2	1,155	89.4
Not at all	21	1.9	6	0.4
Not often	205	15.9	119	9.2
Total	1,284	100	1,280	100




Figure 1.
(a-d) Educational
communication uses
of SMS

category, which describes SMS contact between students and various other members of the academic and non-academic community such as secretaries, technologies and friends in the city, among others.

Gratifications of students' use of SMS

Factor analysis was used to assess the underlying structure for the 20 gratification items as shown in Table IV. Altogether, the 20 factors explained 78.24 percent of the total variance. The Kaiser-Mayer-Olkin and Bartlett's test of sphericity is significant ($p < 0.05$) for all the factors, indicating that the correlation matrices are significantly different from an identity matrix, and the correlations between variables are therefore not all 0, justifying the extraction. The factor loadings resulting from orthogonal rotation are the correlation coefficients of each item with the factor. These loadings are high in this analysis (being higher than 0.3), and show that all the factors have strong loadings, and this provides some support for these factors being conceptualized as pertaining to the same construct.

Using SMS to show affection, consisting of rebuke or express satisfaction with a person, thanking people or showing appreciation, encouraging people or comforting people, sending goodwill messages and sending romantic messages, was the first factor, and its components also have the highest mean scores compared to the components of other gratifications. The factor also explained the highest proportion of variance in the observation (31.16 percent) and had a high reliability Cronbach α coefficient (0.72). Within this component, sending goodwill messages to loved ones has the highest mean score but has the third highest factor loading. This is followed by thanking people, which has the highest factor loading, and then encouraging and comforting people which has the second highest factor loading. Romantic message was the fourth ranked component in terms of mean score but has the second lowest factor loading, while rebuking and expressing satisfaction/dissatisfaction which has the least factor loading also had the least mean score.

Escape was the second factor, which explained 19.17 percent of the total variance contributed by the five factors. It has a reliability Cronbach α value of 0.86 and eigenvalue of 2.86. The factor consisted of engaging oneself with SMS in order to

Table IV.
Gratifications of SMS
use by students

Gratifications of SMS	Mean	SD	1	2	Factors 3	4	5
<i>Affection</i>							
Rebuke, express dissatisfaction	2.93	1.371	0.423				
To thank people, show appreciation	4.32	0.982	0.842				
To encourage, comfort people	4.29	0.969	0.863				
To send goodwill messages to loved ones	4.46	0.928	0.831				
To send romantic messages to lovers	3.83	1.306	0.539				
<i>Escape</i>							
To put off something I should be doing	2.49	1.181		0.837			
To get away from what I am doing	2.46	1.165		0.870			
Helps me play tricks on other people	2.39	1.316		0.866			
To say what I cannot say face to face	3.18	1.462		0.811			
<i>Entertainment</i>							
Get general news	3.14	1.285			0.793		
Get sports news	2.98	1.316			0.843		
Take part in radio/TV talk shows	2.96	1.351			0.728		
Participate in radio/TV lotteries	2.86	1.386			0.536		
<i>Convenience and low cost, SMS is:</i>							
Quick and immediate	3.99	1.160				0.803	
Easy to use	4.09	1.082				0.851	
Cheap	4.07	1.127				0.777	
Not intrusive, free from interruption	3.58	1.278				0.589	
<i>Coordination</i>							
Arrange a time to phone, talk	3.78	1.117					0.873
Agree and clarify how and when to meet	3.96	1.037					0.906
Clarify information about an event	4.02	1.029					0.858
Eigenvalues			2.614	2.866	2.518	2.243	2.230
Percent of variance explained			31.16	19.17	13.34	8.901	5.670
Cronbach α			0.720	0.866	0.700	0.750	0.848
Kaiser-Mayer-Olkin and Bartlett's test (significance level)			0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000

defer something one should be doing, playing tricks on acquaintances and evading face to face conversation. The factor loadings were highest for using SMS to distract oneself from what one should be doing presently, followed by playing tricks on people and finally avoiding confronting people face to face to discuss matters. The third factor was entertainment, which explained 13.34 percent of the variance and also has a reliable scale given the Cronbach α coefficient of 0.70, and an eigenvalue of 2.52. Using SMS to get sports news is the first component in this factor and it has the highest factor loading, but the second highest mean score. Getting general news follows, but with the highest mean score while taking part in TV/radio talk shows and radio/TV lotteries and promotions, follows in this order of magnitude of factor loadings.

The fourth is convenience, which explained 8.90 percent of the variance, and has an eigenvalue of 2.24. The scale of the factor is also reliable because it has a Cronbach α of 0.75. Ease of use component of the factor has the highest factor loading as well as the highest mean score while SMS' quick and immediate feature follows, but with the third highest mean score. Cheapness of SMS is followed by non-intrusiveness of SMS in terms of factor loadings, but they have the second and fourth mean scores,

respectively. Coordination is the last factor, explaining 5.6 percent of the variance but has a good scale given the Cronbach α coefficient of 0.85. The first component in this category is that SMS serves the students the purpose of agreeing and clarifying how and when to meet with acquaintances. The next component is to arrange time to phone each other and then to clarify information about an event. Clarifying information about an event has the highest mean score followed by arranging when and how to meet and arranging time to meet to talk.

Constraining of SMS use

Factor analysis was also used to examine the structure of the 11 identified constraints (see Table V). Altogether these factors accounted for 78.78 percent of the variation in the factors that constrain the use of SMS. With $p = 0.000$, the Kaiser-Mayer-Olkin and Bartlett's test of sphericity is significant at $p < 0.05$.

The first component in this regard are the confusions of SMS which include the difficulty that arises acronyms and shortened phrases cannot be understood by the receiver, the annoyance that attend this confusion, the difficulty of understanding shortened phrases and difficulty of deciphering what the sender has in mind. This component has the highest proportion of variance in the observation (38.89 percent) and also has a high Cronbach α coefficient of 0.78 and an eigenvalue of 3.72. Within this component, the annoyance that follows inability to understand a message has the highest mean score as well as the highest factor loading. This is followed by the struggle to understand difficult acronyms which has the next highest factor loading

Constraints of SMS	Mean	SD	1	Factors		
				2	3	4
<i>Confusion</i>						
Different acronyms for the same word difficult to understand	2.97	1.255	0.981			
Annoying when you do not know what the acronyms stand for	3.26	1.242	0.992			
Non-obvious long phrases when shortened are confusing	3.24	1.205	0.955			
Acronyms in SMS have meanings that might cause confusion	3.18	1.242	0.927			
<i>Intention</i>						
Difficult to determine the intent from the SMS message	2.68	1.212		0.854		
Hard to figure out whether SMS message is a joke or serious	2.73	1.256		0.884		
<i>Timing</i>						
SMS come when it is inconvenient to read the messages	2.89	1.327			0.930	
Sometimes delayed delivery	4.04	1.112			0.618	
<i>Ergonomic issues</i>						
Some literacy required	3.68	1.234				0.669
Keypad is small	2.75	1.257				0.761
Limited in volume of information carried	3.57	1.249				0.807
Eigenvalues			3.717	3.302	1.997	2.614
Percent of variance explained			38.89	22.87	20.57	17.67
Cronbach α			0.785	0.676	0.441	0.604
Kaiser-Mayer-Olkin and Bartlett's test (significance level)			0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001

Table V.
Constraints of SMS use

and the difficulty of understanding shortened phrases and then distinguishing and understanding acronym when they could also be used in other contexts.

The next factor is the difficulty of understanding the intention of the sender. It explains 22.87 percent of the variation although Cronbach α coefficient of 0.67 is relatively low. Within this component, differentiating between a joke and a serious message has both the highest mean score and the highest factor loading. The next factor is that sometimes, the receiver often has a problem of struggling to infer the intention of the sender. The third component is the issue of time, which accounted for 20.57 percent of the variation, and has a low Cronbach α coefficient of 0.441. Delayed delivery is the first component in this factor, and it has a higher mean score but a lower factor loading than the next, namely: SMS sometimes comes when it is inconvenient to read messages. Finally, ergonomic issues constitute the next component which has an eigenvalue of 2.614 and a Cronbach α of 60 percent. Within this component, the problem of limited volume of information which SMS can convey at any time has the highest factor loading. The smallness of the size of keypad of handsets is the next factor, having a 0.761. Finally, the requirement of some literacy for using SMS has a factor loading of 0.669.

Predicting educational usage pattern of SMS

A cross-correlation was used to diagnose the suitability of the demographic variables in the process, and it was found that there exist relatively high correlations ($r > 0.05$) between highest educational level of father and highest educational level of mother, and between age and level of study. But review of literature in this study showed that SMS use has been found in several studies to be highly correlated with age (Leung, 2007) a reason for which the variable is considered very crucial in this study. Furthermore, given the importance of social status and its possible effect on expendable income among students (Okuwa, 2007), highest educational level of mother and highest educational level of father are aggregated to constitute parental education and parental occupation.

Table VI is a regression analysis result showing the pattern of relationship between the various educational uses of SMS and the predictor variables. For gratification, affection has a significant relationship with educational contact, but convenience/low cost has a greater significance. All the gratification variables: affection, escape, entertainment and convenience/low cost significantly relate to use of SMS to contact peers, although the later two were more significant than the former two. This result also applies to contacting family, except that the significance of the relationship is higher for affection and convenience/low cost. For contacting lecturers, only escape relates significantly with using SMS, and the magnitude of the relationship is relatively high.

SMS constraints of confusion in understanding SMS phrases used and ergonomic issues have positive significant relationship with the use of SMS for educational contact, while the relationship is negative for unclear intention and timing. None of the constraint variables relates significantly with educational contact with peers. But unclear intention and ergonomic issues have significant relationship with educational use of SMS to contact family, but relationship between use of SMS to contact others and confusion of SMS texts is less significant. Furthermore, all the constraint variables, except unclear intention, significantly relate with educational use of SMS to contact lecturers.

Age has a relatively high and significant relationship with using SMS for general educational contact, and also has a positive and significant relationship with making contact with lecturers and contacting others. Being single is significantly related to

	Educational contact	Contact peers	β Contact family	Contact lecturers	Contact others
<i>Gratifications</i>					
Affection	0.150*	0.101*	0.043**		0.050*
Escape		0.332*	0.102*	0.531*	-0.022*
Entertainment		0.122**	0.030*		-0.030**
Convenience and low cost	0.138**	0.031**	-0.311**		-0.010*
<i>Constraints</i>					
Confusion	0.111*		0.011*	0.141**	0.110*
Unclear intention	-0.210**		0.341**	0.110**	
Timing	-0.327**			0.101**	0.401*
Ergonomic issues	0.311**		0.022**	0.180**	0.101*
<i>Demographics</i>					
Gender (reference = males)					
Female		-0.079*	0.039**		0.126**
Occupation of parents (reference = unemployed)					
Self-employed	0.504*				
Private sector	-0.112**	0.344*	0.026*		
Public sector	0.780**		0.030**		
Closest parents (reference = none)					
Father	0.022**	0.044*	0.070**	-0.072*	
Mother		-0.033	0.110*	0.173**	
Marital status (reference = divorced)					
Married					0.310*
Single					0.150*
Sponsorship type (reference = others)					
Parents					
Self	0.415**	0.344*	0.039**	0.070**	
Scholarship					
Age					0.240*
Education of parents (reference = none)					
Primary	0.404*	0.044*		-0.170*	0.150*
Secondary	-0.012**		0.131**	0.371**	
Tertiary	0.541**	0.190*		0.366**	
Living type (reference = off campus)					
Living in hostel	0.473**	0.221*	0.203*	0.422**	0.201*
With parents	0.392*			0.321*	

Notes: Values in the table are standardized coefficients; SMS users were coded as 1, and 0 otherwise;
 ** $p \leq 0.05$

Table VI.
 Regression analysis of
 educational uses of SMS
 with demographics,
 gratifications and SMS
 constraints

general educational contact as well as contacting others. But being married relates significantly with contacting peers ($r = 0.344$, $p < 0.05$), contacting family, contacting lecturers and contacting others. On its own part, gender relates significantly but negatively with contacting peers, positively with contacting family and contacting others. The three levels of occupation of parents, namely, self, private and public relate significantly with use of SMS for educational contact, but only private sector employment type relates significantly to contact of peers. Using SMS to contact family is related to private sector and public sector employment. Closeness to father relates to

generally using SMS for educational contact as well as contacting peers, contacting family and negatively with contacting lecturers but not with contacting others. Closeness to mother relates significantly and negatively to contacting peers and contacting family, contacting lectures, but not with contacting others. Catholic and Protestant types of religious affiliation relate to using SMS to make educational contact generally and also to contact others and, but Catholics are less likely to contact their peers than they likely are to contact family.

Being sponsored by self or by scholarship significantly relates to using SMS for making general educational contact; self-sponsorship and scholarship type of sponsorship also relate to using SMS to contact peers and to contact family, respectively. Self-sponsorship and scholarship sponsorship types also significantly and positively relate to contact of lecturers; but those who have scholarship type of sponsorship are more likely to contact others more those with other type of sponsorship. Tertiary status of parental education relates significantly with general educational contact using SMS, contacting peers, contacting family, lectures and others. Living in hostel relates significantly with educational contact, contacting family and lecturers.

Discussion

SMS is no doubt an emerging communication choice among youth and is being deployed to facilitate educational information exchange in the universities in Nigeria. In varying degrees, students reported using SMS to make educational contact; and they link one another most, parents next, followed by teachers and other category of persons the least. This result underpins the enormous interpersonal educational communication that goes on among students in the process of learning. The relative high proportion of students who reported contacting one another for educational reasons suggests that students probably prefer receiving educational information from their peers before they could attempt other sources. Given the possibility of differentiation in the information students might required according to their sources, this result suggests further students expect that much of the information they need could be obtained from their peers. More exciting than this result is the large number of students who reported using the technology to make contact with their lecturers. An interview would be required to establish exactly what types of information students communicate with their lectures using SMS. It is also important to understand the direction of this communication. Do lecturers also use SMS to communicate information back to the students or is it just the students that send texts to their lecturers? This understanding is important to know the extent to which lecturers are willing to absorb the cost of the technology to achieve delivery of educational information to their students.

Affectionate needs to encourage and comfort, and, share goodwill messages are the major gratifications of SMS use while avoidance needs of deliberate distraction from current engagement further explains SMS use. Youth also get instrumental, and this leads to the need for entertainment and convenience to also command the use of SMS, while self-management task of coordinating one's schedules is also very important. The frustration that accompany the confusions in the shortening of words necessitated by the compulsion to say so much within the limited space constitute the major constraint reported by SMS users. Also, the difficulty to figure out what an SMS is all about, the possibility of arrival of texts at very unusual times, as well as late delivery of text messages and limited volume of texts constitute obstacles to the use of SMS.

The gratification variables of ease of use, getting sports news, and agreeing and clarifying how and when to meet successfully contributed to distinguishing those who used SMS to contact their peers from those who did not. But the constraints to SMS use did not appear to be an issue in contacting peers as they failed to classify respondents into users and non-users. The demographic characteristics of highest educational level of parents and age level were significant in classifying SMS users for the purpose of contacting peers for educational information. Gender, occupation of mother, marital status are major demographic factors determining whether a student will or will not use SMS to contact family members. On the other hand, ease of use of the technology and capability in clarifying and fixing appointments are significant gratifications when contacting lecturers. Also, the unclearness of acronyms common with SMS users and the limited volume of information the technology permits for a single communication also classify those who use the resource for seeking advice from their lecturers from those who do not. Demographically, gender, closeness to parents, educational status of parents, marital status and sponsorship type influence some to use the technology to reach their lecturers and at the same time discourage others to do the same.

A regression analysis shows that for general educational purposes, closeness to mothers and education of parents foster use of the technology for educational contact, just as those who have sponsorship from their parents are most likely to make educational contact using SMS. Age plus level of study of the respondents negatively predicted educational use of SMS. The gratifications around the capability of SMS to enable students escape face to face communication, with SMS' instrumental convenience and low cost of SMS, also explain educational use of SMS. The deployment of SMS for educational contact is, however, constrained by the difficulty to decipher the intention of the messages, and more by the confusion that often arises due to unclear acronyms.

For specific educational contact, there are variations on how the independent variables predict the use of SMS. Males appear to be more in touch with parents for educational information than their female counterpart just as Pentecostals make educational connection to their families more than respondents with other categories of religious affiliations. Younger students and those in lower classes and children of parents with tertiary education stay in contact with their parents more than the others. Furthermore, affection and entertainment are the major serious gratification explanations for contacting family for educational purposes, although the constraints of confusion of language and timing somewhat inhibit this opportunity.

Seeking advice from lecturers presented a different picture altogether because sponsorship type, education of parents and more significantly, age and level of study of the student explained the practice. The major gratification explanation is the low cost and convenience of the technology. But it appears that lecturers might not cope with the difficulty to decode and understand SMS texts when they come from their students, and this may be why the ergonomic constraints such as limitation in volume of SMS texts and timing and confusion of language are problematic in this regard. Marital status, living type and gender negatively predict interaction with lecturers using SMS.

For further studies, it may be necessary to examine exactly the detail of the information communicated by students with their parents, lecturers, peers and others. For instance, what specifically does a student discuss with his or her lecturer using SMS. This information is necessary for facilitating SMS information systems which have become necessary to reduce information communication gap that exists between parents and their wards when their wards are in institutions far away from home, and between lecturers and students and other peers.

References

- Blumler, J.G. and Katz, E. (1974), *The Uses and Mass Communications: Current Perspectives on Gratifications Research*, Sage, Beverly Hills, CA.
- Dimmick, J.W., Sikand, J. and Patterson, S.J. (1994), *The Gratifications of the Household*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.
- Erinoso, O.A. (2008), "The quality of Nigerian private universities", *Ibadan Journal of Sociology*, Vol. 5 No. 1, pp. 1-15.
- Harris, P., Rettie, R. and Kwan, C. (2005), "Adoption and usage of m-commerce: a cross-cultural comparison of Hong Kong and the United Kingdom", *Journal of Electronic Commerce Research*, Vol. 6 No. 3, pp. 210-24.
- Johansen, A.S. and Hansen, J.P. (2003), "Augmentative and alternative communication: the future of text on the move", *Universal Access Theoretical Perspectives, Practice, and Experience*, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.
- Leung, L. (2007), "Unwillingness-to-communicate and college students' motives in SMS mobile messaging", *Telematics and Informatics*, Vol. 24 No. 2, pp. 115-29.
- Lin, C.A. (1998), "Exploring the personal computer adoption dynamics", *Journal of Broadcasting and Electronic Media*, Vol. 41 No. 1, pp. 95-112.
- Livingstone, S. (2002), *Young People and New Media*, Sage, London.
- McAdams, J. (2006), "SMS for SOS: short message service earns valued role as a link of last resort for crisis communications", available at: www.fcw.com/article92790-04-03-06-Print (accessed May 20, 2012).
- Mariano, M.L.D. and De La Rosa, N.P.C. (2004), "Beyond an institutionalized learning environment: fostering interactions and learning using synchronous and asynchronous messaging systems", *Turkish Online Journal of Distance Education*, Vol. 5 No. 3, available at: <http://tojde.anadolu.edu.tr/tojde15/pdf/mariano.pdf> (accessed March 10, 2012).
- Maslow, A.H. (1970), *Motivation and Personality*, Harper & Row, New York, NY.
- Muhammad, S.R. (n.d.), "SMS text analysis: language, gender and current practices", available at: www.tesol-france.org/Documents/Colloque07/SMS%20Text%20Analysis%20Language%20Gender%20and%20Current%20Practice%201_pdf (accessed March 12, 2012).
- Nigerian Telecommunications Report (2008), "Nigeria telecom sector analysis", available at: www.reportbuyer.com/telecoms/country_overviews_telecommunications/nigeriatelecom_sector_analysis.html (accessed January 12, 2012).
- Nonyongo, E., Mabusela, K. and Monene, V. (2005), "Effectiveness of SMS communication between tertiary and students", Institute for Continuing Education, UNISA, available at: www.mlearn.org.za/CD/papers/Nonyongo%20Mabusela.pdf (accessed April 14, 2012).
- Nwagwu, W.E. (2007), "The internet as a source of reproductive health information among adolescent girls in an urban city in Nigeria", *BMC Public Health*, Vol. 7 No. 354, p. 131, available at: www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2458/7/354.
- Nwagwu, M., Adekannbi, J. and Bello, O. (2009), "Factors influencing use of the internet: a questionnaire survey of the students of university of Ibadan, Nigeria", *The Electronic Library*, Vol. 27 No. 4, pp. 718-34.
- Office of the Telecommunications Authority (OFTA) (2005), Key Telecommunication Statistics, available at: www.ofta.gov.hk/en/datastat/key_stat.html (accessed May 25, 2012).
- Okuwa, O.B. (2004), "Private returns to higher education in Nigeria African economic research", *Consortium Research Paper 139*, Nairobi, AERC.
- Pabico, A. (2003), "Teaching through mobile technology debuts in schools", available at: <http://ipsnews.net/interna.asp?idnews=18701> (accessed May 25, 2012).

-
- Palmgreen, P., Wenner, L.A. and Rosengren, K.E. 1985, "Uses and gratifications research: the past ten years", in Rosengren, K.E., Wenner, L.A. and Palmgreen, P. (Eds), *Media Gratifications Research: Current Perspectives*, Sage, Beverly Hills, CA, pp. 11-37.
- Peters, O., Almekinders, J., Buren, V.R., Snippers, R. and Wessels, J. (2003), "Motives for SMS use", 53rd Annual Conference of the International Communication Association, San Diego, CA, May 23-27.
- Rice, R.E. and Katz, J.E. (2003), "Comparing internet and mobile phone usage: digital divides of usage, adoption, and dropouts", *Telecommunications Policy*, Vol. 27 pp. 597-623.
- Streicher, P. (2008), *Press Release, Global Messaging Conference*, Sage, Cannes.
- Sutherland, A. and Thompson, B. (2001), *"Kidfluence" Why Kids Today Mean Business*, McGraw-Hill, New York.
- Tappscott, D. (1998), *Growing up Digital: The Rise of the Net Generation*, McGraw-Hill, New York.
- Wellman, B. (2001), "Physical place and cyber place: the rise of personalized networking", *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*, Vol. 25 No. 2, pp. 227-52.

Further reading

- Blumler, J.G. (1979), "The role of theory in uses and gratifications studies", *Communication Research*, Vol. 6 No. 1, pp. 9-36.
- Huyer, S. *et al.* (2005), *From Digital Divide to Digital Opportunities: Women in the Information Society*, Orbicom, Network of UNESCO Chairs in Communication, Quebec.
- Kajumbula, R. (2006), "The effectiveness of mobile short messaging service (SMS) technologies in the support of selected distance education students of Makerere University, Uganda", paper presented at the Fourth Pan-Commonwealth Forum (PCF4) on Open Learning, Ochio Rios, available at: <http://pcf4.dec.uwi.edu/viewpaper.php?id=98> (accessed January 29, 2012).
- Reid, D.J. and Reid, D.J.M. (2007), "Text or talk? Social anxiety, loneliness, and divergent preferences for cell phone use", *Cyberpsychology & Behavior*, Vol. 10 No. 3, pp. 424-35.
- Yan, X. (2003), "Mobile data communications in China", *Communications of the ACM*, Vol. 46 No. 12, pp. 80-85.

About the author

Williams E. Nwagwu is a Lecturer at the Africa Regional Centre for Information Science of the University of Ibadan, Nigeria. He specializes in science communication, information and information technology use, health information sources and systems, and informetrics, and has written over 50 papers in these areas. He is a regular speaker at conferences, and is on the Editorial Board of the *World Journal of Science, Technology and Sustainable Development*. Williams E. Nwagwu can be contacted at: willieezi@yahoo.com