



AN ASSESSMENT OF PRENATAL CARE RECEIVED BY MOTHERS WITH DIABETES MELLITUS USING OUTCOME MEASURES: A RETROSPECTIVE STUDY IN SOUTH EAST IDAHO

Aida Mohamed El-Asfahani*

United Arab Emirates University, UAE

Abstract: The most commonly used standard for measuring prenatal care (PNC) adequacy is the “Kessner Index” which prescribes PNC for normal pregnancies in terms of the month of pregnancy care started, number of visits, and length of gestation. This index was adopted in this study to assess the effect intensity of PNC on the course of pregnancy and labor, as well as the ultimate delivery effect on mothers with pregestational diabetes mellitus (DM) whether type 1 or type 2 and gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM)). The study covered females who gave a singleton live birth at four major hospitals in Southeast Idaho (1996-1999). Maternal (n=110) retrospective information were analyzed. GDM is substantially more prevalent than prepregnancy DM. Subjects were classified according to “Kessner Index”; the majority of pregnant females received adequate care. The Mann-Whitney test revealed statistically significant differences in the Apgar scores due to PNC. Similar results were found in the number of newborn complications. The relationship between PNC and a reduction in maternal labor complications was inconclusive. Since a substantial proportion of pregnant women may not be aware of their diabetes or at risk for diabetes, early screening for all pregnant women, particularly women at their reproductive age is warranted.

Keywords: Prenatal care, Diabetes, Birth outcome

INTRODUCTION

With the increase in obesity and sedentary lifestyles, the prevalence of diabetes mellitus (DM) among reproductive aged women is rising both in the United States (Ferrara et AL., 2004) and globally (Feig and Palda, 2002). Gestational diabetes mellitus

(GDM), which usually reflects type 2 DM in the underlying population (King, 1998) [3], would also be seen more frequently (Metzger, 2006). The prevalence of diabetes in pregnancy, either GDM or preexisting, was 25.3/1,000 births in the U.S. for the period 1993–1995 (CDC, 1998). Based on projected changes in the U.S. and

* Prof. Aida Mohamed El-Asfahani, Visiting Professor Nutrition & Food Science, Department of Nutrition & Health, Faculty of Food & Agriculture, United Arab Emirates University, P.O. Box 17551, Al-Ain, UAE.
Email: elASFahani@hotmail.com and aelASFahani@uaeu.ac.ae.

worldwide demographics, it is estimated that the total number of cases of GDM would rise to 120% by 2025) (King, 1998).

Available data from the first report on maternal and infant health information, exclusive to the 2003 revision of the U.S. Standard Certificate of Live Birth, indicate that there were 571,858 births to residents of Idaho, Kentucky, New York (excluding New York City), Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Tennessee, and Washington in 2004 (14% of all U.S. births) and GDM is substantially more prevalent than prepregnancy DM 44.0 per 1,000 (or 4.4%) compared with 7.2 per 1,000, (or 0.7%), respectively, while the prevalence of both types increased steadily by age (Martin and Menacker, 2007). There are strong debates illustrating that intrauterine disclosure to either type of DM during pregnancy predisposes offspring to develop obesity and abnormal glucose tolerance later in life independently from genetic transmission (ADA, 2003; Clausen, et al., 2008; Hillier, et al., 2007; Waterland and Garza, 1999). Therefore, the public health aspects of increasing GDM need more attention to decrease the prevalence of type 2 DM in future generations.

Studies have suggested that to avoid early pregnancy loss and costly congenital malformations in the newborn of women with DM, standard medical care and patient counseling and training must start early in pregnancy, if not before Tolstoi and Josimovich, 1999; Fiscella, 1995; Zenk, 1999; UDHHS, 1991). This is because prenatal care in early pregnancy allows health care professionals to monitor fetal growth and maternal health, and to determine the best course of action to improve birth outcomes. A recent study (Bachelard, Santos, and Paccaud, 1996) in community settings examined retrospectively the data of 854 pregnancies delivered in the Vaud Canton

in Switzerland. Using the “Kessner Index” (Kessner, et al., 1973) classification to assess the level of prenatal care, the study found that the prevalence of preterm birth was more frequent among women classified as having intermediate or inadequate prenatal care than among women with adequate prenatal care.

The purpose of this pilot study was to assess the impact of PNC utilization on maternal and neonatal outcomes of mothers with pregestational DM (whether type 1 or type 2), and GDM in Southeast Idaho from 1996 to 1999. The hypothesis set forward was that a pregnant woman with pre- and gestational DM who uses adequately prenatal care services will have better maternal and neonatal birth outcomes than a woman who uses prenatal care services inadequately. To my knowledge, at the time of conducting the current research, this hypothesis had not been investigated in Idaho.

METHODS

Design

A cross-sectional retrospective design that involved explanatory (three levels of prenatal care use) and response variables (maternal and neonatal outcomes) of all women in four major hospitals in Southeast Idaho with pre-gestational DM (type 1 and type 2), and GDM who delivered a live birth infant between 1st January 1996 and 31st December 1999, were included in the analysis.

Data source

The researcher abstracted the birth certificates and medical charts of both the mothers and their infants. The study population was restricted to mothers diagnosed with DM prior to or during pregnancy and having a

full term singleton live births. Exclusion criteria included any cases with undocumented information on birth weight, gestational age, timing of prenatal care initiation, and number of prenatal care visits. The approval to conduct this study was obtained from both the Human Subjects Committee of Idaho State University and the Committee in charge for each hospital in Southeast Idaho.

The independent variables included date of first visit to PNC service, number of PNC visits, parents' age, marital status, and race. Maternal outcome measures are incidence of pregnancy induced hypertension (PIH), route of delivery (vaginal or caesarean), and the number of labor complications¹. Neonatal outcome measures are birth weight (g), gestational age (weeks), birth-weight-related gestational age [large-for-gestational-age (LGA), adequate-for-gestational-age (AGA), small-for-gestational-age (SGA)] (Battaglia and Lubchenco, 1967), Apgar scores at 1 and 5 minutes, number of newborn complications,² and number of infant congenital abnormalities (defined here as malformation discovered during the birth hospitalization).

Assessment of adequacy of PNC services:

The established method of measuring the adequacy of PNC services called the "Kessner Index" was adopted in this study (Kessner, et al., 1973). **It combines the timing** (which trimester) of the first PNC visit, the number of visits, and length of gestation (i.e., gestational age) at time of birth. The last factor corrects for shorter pregnancies. The "Kessner Index" categorize the quantity of PNC into three levels: "adequate" if care begins in the first trimester with 9 or more visits over a 36-week or more pregnancy; or "intermediate" which includes 5-8 visits for a 36-week pregnancy; or inadequate if it

begins in the 3rd trimester, or includes 4 or fewer visits for a pregnancy of 34 or more weeks. Each subject examined in this study was classified into one of the three "Kessner Index" categories. Because there was only one case that fell into the inadequate PNC category, the three "Kessner Index" categories of adequate, intermediate, and inadequate were modified to only two levels, adequate vs. less than adequate.

Statistical analysis

Using SPSS for Windows release 10.0, the appropriate statistical tests were applied to test the relationship between the level of PNC and several selected outcome measures indicating the health conditions for both the mothers and their infants. A one-way analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) was used to test the impact of PNC levels on infant weight at birth. The factor of interest was level of PNC (adequate vs. less than adequate), with gestational age as a covariate. The T-test was used to compare the average gestational age (as a continuous variable) between the adequate and less than adequate PNC groups. Most of the adopted criteria, such as Apgar scores, number of labor complications, number of newborn complications and number of congenital abnormalities did not meet the assumptions necessary for parametric tests, so the non-parametric (Mann-Whitney) test was employed to compare the level of the response variables between the adequate and less than adequate PNC groups. Because the Apgar score is of an ordinal nature and skewed, while the distribution is enormously skewed for the number of labor complications, the number of newborn complications and the number of congenital abnormalities, the non-parametric Mann-Whitney test was used to compare the level of the response variables between the adequate and less than adequate PNC groups. A Chi-Square test was used

Table 1 Means and standard deviations of quantitative variables

<i>Variable</i>	<i>Mean ±SD</i>
Years of education	
Mother	12.3 ±2.9
Father	12.4 ±3.2
Age	
Mother	30.0 ±6.3
Father	32.7 ±6.9
Birth weight (g)	3,513 ±605.5
Apgar score at 1 minute	
Adequate PNC	7.62 ±1.54
Less than adequate PNC	7.27 ±1.36
Apgar score at 5 minutes	
Adequate PNC	8.88 ±0.76
Less than adequate PNC	8.69 ±0.75
Gestational age (weeks)	38.2 ±1.9

Table 2 Frequencies of Qualitative Variables

<i>Variable</i>	<i>Case</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	
Race	Mothers	White	75	68.2
		Non-White	35	31.8
	Fathers	White	73	66.4
		Non-White	37	33.6
Mother Marital Status	Married	96	87.3	
	Unmarried	14	12.7	
Incidence of Diabetes Mellitus	Pre-gestational DM	21	19.1	
	Gestational DM	89	80.9	
Labor Complications	None	41	37.3	
	1-2 Types	53	48.2	
	>2 Types	16	14.9	
Newborn Complications	None	79	71.9	
	1-2 Types	20	18.1	
	2-5 Types	11	10.0	
Congenital Abnormalities	Absent	106	96.9	
	Present	4	3.6	
Prenatal Care Groups	Less than adequate	48	44.4	
	Adequate	60	55.6	

to evaluate the relationship between PNC groups and presence of PIH, delivery route, and birth-weight-related gestational age (dichotomous variable).

Characteristics of the study sample

Table 1 and 2 show the description of the studied mothers and their newborn infants with respect to the demographic variables, the explanatory variables (three levels of prenatal care use) and the response variables (maternal and neonatal outcomes).

Results

As shown in Tables 3-7, the Mann-Whitney test shows a statistically significant difference in Apgar scores at 1 minute and at 5 minutes due to prenatal care ($P=0.016$, $P=0.035$, respectively). Infants of mothers with adequate PNC shows statistically significant less number of newborn complica-

tions ($P=0.033$). A detailed analysis shows that infants of mothers with GDM had a more statistically significant ($P=0.001$) number of newborn complications than those of mothers with pregestational DM. As for labor complications the test result was inconclusive ($P=0.051$). No significant differences in congenital abnormalities due to PNC levels ($P=0.112$) were detected.

Differences in birth weights (adjusted for gestational age) at PNC levels were statistically not significant (ANCOVA $F=1.427$, $P=0.235$). Likewise, differences in average gestational age at PNC levels were statistically not significant ($t=0.775$, $P=0.220$). There was no association between birth weight-related- gestational age (LGA vs. AGA) and PNC levels ($\chi^2=0.221$, $P=0.319$). There was no statistically significant association between PNC levels and delivery route ($\chi^2=2.752$, $P=0.253$) or PIH ($\chi^2=0.608$, $P=0.219$).

Table 3 Mann-Whitney tests for differences in Apgar scores due to prenatal care levels[†].

Variables	Test Statistics		P*
Apgar Score 1 min	M-W=1111	Z=-2.159	0.016
Apgar Score 5 min	M-W=1223	Z=-1.819	0.035

*Significance at $P<0.05$

Table 4 Mann-Whitney tests for differences in newborn complications, labor complications, and congenital abnormalities due to prenatal care levels.[†]

Variables	Test Statistics		P
Newborn Complications †	M-W=1202.5	Z=-1.84*	0.033
Labor Complications †	M-W=1188	Z=-1.633	0.051
Congenital Abnormalities	M-W=1375.5	Z=-1.219	0.112

* Significance at $P<0.05$

† adequate versus less than adequate.

DISCUSSION

The study results revealed a positive impact of prenatal care on avoiding some complications that either the mother or her newborn infant could meet (ACOG, 1994; ADA, 1998; ADA, 1998). The two areas in which it achieved significance, the newborn's Apgar scores and the number of complications the newborn experiences, under gird the importance of such care. The observed higher 1-minute and higher 5-minute Apgar scores for infants of mothers with adequate

PNC is compatible with previous studies (Boss and Timbrook, 2001; Sloan *et al.*, 1996; Eden, *et al.*, 2005; Gunter, *et al.*, 2007). On the contrary, other studies found no significant association between Apgar scores and a reduced level of prenatal care utilization (Shushan, Ezra, and Samueloff, 1997; Bienstock, *et al.* 1997; McDuffie, 1996;). Likewise, lower number of newborn complications was for infants from mothers with adequate PNC level are consistent with earlier studies (Tyson *et al.*, 1990). Further analysis indicates that a significantly higher

Table 5 Mann-Whitney tests for differences in newborn complications, labor complications, and congenital abnormalities due to pregestational DM and GDM.

Variables	Test Statistics		P
Newborn Complications	M-W=566	Z=-3.54*	0.001
Labor Complications	M-W=841.5	Z=-0.740	0.230
Congenital Abnormalities	M-W=892.5	Z=-0.985	0.112

* Significance at $P < 0.05$

Table 6 Tests for differences in birth weight and gestational age due to prenatal care levels[†].

Variables	Test	Test Statistics	P
Birth Weight	ANCOVA	$F_{(df=1)} = 1.427$	0.235
Gestational Age	T-Test	$t_{(df=106)} = 0.775$	0.220

* Significance at $P < 0.05$

Table 7 Chi-square tests for association between prenatal care levels and delivery route, pregnancy induced hypertension, and gestational age.

Variables	Test Statistics	P
Delivery Route	2.752	0.253
Pregnancy Induced Hypertension	0.608	0.219
Gestational Age (LGA vs. AGA)	0.221	0.319

* Significance at $P < 0.05$

† adequate versus less than adequate

number of newborn complications were for those infants of mothers with GDM than those of mothers with pregestational DM.

This study supports earlier studies with regard to GDM increased incidence than pre-pregnancy diabetes. The current recommendation for screening test for GDM between 24 and 28 weeks of gestation may fail to detect pregnant women who develop GDM in the earlier weeks of pregnancy (Meyer, 1996; Super, 1991; Nahum, Wilson and Stanislaw, 2002). Further, identified women with GDM in the early weeks of pregnancy may indicate true GDM or may represent undiagnosed type 2 diabetes detected during screening (Seshiah, et al., 2007). This may suggest that early diagnosis (Bartha, Martinez-Del-Fresno and Comino-Delgado, 2000) and care should permit evaluation of intervention strategies, which may result in improved perinatal outcomes (Kogan, et al., 1981; Bartha, et al., 2003; Crowther, et al., 2005)

The observed finding with respect to absence of any significant relationship between fetal growth at birth (after adjusting birth weight for gestational age) and levels of PNC was in agreement with several previous studies (Alexander and Korenbrot, 1995; Early, 2000; Bienstock, et al., 1997; McDuffie, et al., 1996; Abramowicz and Kass, 1996) inconsistent with earlier studies (Diaz, Dinsmoor and Lin, 2001; Gold, 1998; Kotelchuck, 1994; Kogan, et al., 1994; Mustard and Roos, 1994). A possible explanation for such discrepancies could be attributed to the variation in measurement error of gestational age estimation (Alexander and Kotelchuck, 2001). In addition to the unaccounted for or unknown factors such as variation in race, genetics, class, psychosocial stress, prepregnancy BMI, bacterial infections of the genitourinary tract, and environmental factors, all of which could

obscure the effect of adequate PNC on birth weight) (Langer, et al., 2005; Curry, 1989; Barfield, et al., 1996; Delgado, Bueno and Galvez, 1997). This study was logically expected to reveal a non-significant influence for adequate PNC on incidences of congenital abnormalities among newborns. This may be because GDM generally develops later in pregnancy, and therefore adversely impacts fewer developmental processes (ADA, 1999.).

The current study found no significant effect of PNC levels on either route of delivery or PIH. These findings are in agreement with other studies (Bienstock, et al., 1997; McDuffie, et al., 1996; Coustan and Lewis, 1978; Garner, et al., 1997). Nevertheless, other studies reached different conclusions, as a probable outcome to differences in methods of analysis (Mustard and Roos, 1994); Thomas, Golding and Peters, 1991; Shushan, Ezra, and Samueloff, 1997; Alexander and Kotelchuck, 1996). What this study did not establish, but may be inferred, is that there may be a connection between PNC level and reduced labor complications (Mustard and Roos, 1994). This connection may be obscured by the design limitations of this study such as the small size of the sample, limited geographic area of the study, the retrospective nature of data, and lack of randomization causal inference.

Furthermore, studies that use time of commencing and number of prenatal visits to evaluate adequacy of PNC use have important drawbacks. For example, using the "Kessner Index" as a proxy of prenatal care has been subject to intensive criticism for its alleged bias toward adequate care, inaccurate characterization of adequate care for women with more than 36 weeks gestation, and failure to distinguish inadequate care due to insufficient number of

visits (Alexander and Kotlechuck, 1996; Kotelchuck, 1994; Alexander and Cornely, 1987). Further, the number of visits conveys information regarding the extension of care but does not inform about its continuity or quality. The indices of adequacy of PNC use do not establish any recommendation of a standard number of visits for high-risk pregnancy and birth. Nevertheless, even with these limitations in mind, the current indices available for assessing the adequacy of PNC use provide some useful information.

CONCLUSION

Despite some limitations, this study demonstrated the connection between newborn's Apgar scores, complications and adequacy of PNC and is of high-priority for the health and wellbeing of the infant of a diabetic mother. These findings are significant because to my knowledge, no literature exists that investigates such relationship in high-risk pregnancies complicated with DM in Idaho. This study was in agreement with other similar study findings. But since this study was confined to high-risk mothers suffering DM during the course of full term pregnancy, other studies may demonstrate contradictive results because their sample may have included different variables (e.g., health profiles or demographics).

Given the growing incidence of GDM in the entire U. S. A., this study suggests that future research should focus on refining criteria for measurement of effective prenatal care use for high-risk pregnancy complicated with diabetes, e.g., by recommending a standard number of PNC visits. It has been demonstrated that controlling maternal glycemia with medical nutrition therapy, close monitoring of blood glucose levels, and treatment with insulin when euglycemia is not achieved by diet alone can

reduce maternal and fetal complications in diabetes (Menato, et al., 2008; Metzger and Coustan, 1998; ACOG, 2001; ADA, 2004; Major, et al., 1998). Thus, an overall reduction in the cost (Schmitt, Sneed and Phibbs, 2006; ADA, 2008) [63, 64] of providing critical health care can be realized by early detection (Seshiah, et al., 2008) [65] and treatment (González-Quintero, 2007) of mothers with DM or at risk for developing GDM. It is therefore imperative that due consideration in addressing provision of PNC services be given, particularly for high-risk pregnancy and birth.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author thanks Mrs. Teri Peterson, Faculty Statistical Consultant, Idaho State University for her generous help in the analysis of the data.

BIOGRAPHY

Aida Mohamed El-Asfahani, Ph.D., R.D., is Professor of Nutrition and Food Science at the Faculty of Home Economics, Menoufia University, Egypt with M.Sc. degree from Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University, Egypt; Ph.D. degree from the University of Economics at Prague; and a subsequent Postdoctoral Fellow in the International Food and Nutrition program jointly organized by the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT) and the Harvard University. Following an internship in Clinical Dietetics at the Illinois University Hospital and passing the dietetic registration examination, she became a registered dietitian (R.D.). She has published scholarly articles individually and collaboratively with scientists in Egypt and internationally. Her research interests include maternal and infant health and nutrition; assessment of

dietary behavior with a focus on eating patterns measurement, socioeconomic determinants and physiological functioning consequences of nutrition and malnutrition; and the role of both nutrition and food security in public health. In addition to her currently serving as Visiting Professor at the United Arab Emirates University, she has served as Department Chair and Vice Dean for Postgraduate Studies at the Faculty of Home Economics, Menoufia University; and is/was consultant in a variety of settings including government agencies in Egypt. She also held academic appointments in other universities such as State University of New York-College at Oneonta; The University of the West Indies, Trinidad and Tobago; Sultan Qaboos University; King Abd Al-Aziz University; and Qatar University.

REFERENCES

- Abramowicz, M. and Kass, E.H. (1966) 'Pathogenesis and prognosis of prematurity', *New England Journal of Medicine*, Vol. 275, pp. 878-885, 938-943, 1001-1007, 1053-1059.
- ACOG (1994) 'Diabetes and pregnancy', Washington, D.C., ACOG Technical Bulletin No. 200.
- ACOG (2001) 'Clinical management guidelines for obstetrician-gynecologists', Committee on Practice Bulletin - Obstetrics. ACOG Practice Bulletin Number 30, September 2001. Gestational diabetes. *Obstetrics Gynecology*, Vol. 98, pp. 525-538.
- ADA (1998) 'Preconception care of women with diabetes', *Diabetes Care*, Vol. 21, S56-S59.
- ADA (1998) Gestational diabetes mellitus, *Diabetes Care*, Vol. 21, S60.
- ADA (1999) 'Groups Affected-Gestational diabetes' <<http://www.diabetes.org/main/inf>> (2001, July, 20).
- ADA (2003) 'Gestational diabetes mellitus', *Diabetes Care*, Vol. 26, pp. S103-S105.
- ADA (2004) 'Position statement on gestational diabetes mellitus', *Diabetes Care*, Vol. 27, (Suppl. 1), pp. S88-S90.
- ADA (2008) 'Economic costs of diabetes in the U.S. in 2007-ADA Statement', *Diabetes Care*, Vol. 31, pp. 1-20.
- Alexander, G.R. and Kotelchuck, M. (2001) 'Assessing the role and effectiveness of prenatal care: history, challenges, and directions for future research', *Public Health Report*, Vol. 116, pp. 306-316.
- Alexander, G.R. and Cornely, D.A. (1987) 'Prenatal care utilization: Its measurement and relationships to pregnancy outcome', *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, Vol. 3, pp. 243-253.
- Alexander, G.R. and Korenbrot, C.C. (1995) 'The role of prenatal care in preventing low birth weight', *The Future of Children*, Vol. 5 <http://www.futureofchildren.org/LBW/08LBWLE.htm> (May/7/2001)
- Alexander, G.R. and Kotelchuck, M. (1996) 'Quantifying the adequacy of prenatal care: a comparison of indices,' *Public Health Report*, Vol. 111, pp. 408-418.
- Bachelard, O., Santos, E.B., Paccaud, F. (1996) 'Prenatal care of women delivering in the vaud canton: retrospective study of 854 cases', *Soz Praventivmed*, Vol. 41, pp. 270-279.
- Barfield, W.D., Wise, P.H., Rust, F.P., Rust, K.J., Gould, J.B., Gortmaker, S.L. (1996) 'Racial disparities in outcomes of military and civilian births in California', *Archives of Pediatric Adolescent Medicine*, Vol. 150, pp. 1062-1067.
- Bartha, J.L., Martinez-Del-Fresno, P., Comino-Delgado, R. (2003) 'Early diagnosis of gestational diabetes mellitus and prevention of diabetes related complications' *European Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology Reproduction Biology*, Vol.109, pp. 41-4.
- Bartha, J.L., Martinez-Del-Fresno, P., Comino-Delgado, R. (2000) 'Gestational diabetes mellitus diagnosed during early pregnancy', *American Journal of Obstetric and Gynecology*, Vol. 182, pp. 346-50.

- Battaglia, F.C. and Lubchenco, L.O. (1967) 'A practical classification of newborn infants by weight and gestational age' *The Journal of Pediatrics*, Vol. 71, pp.159-163.
- Bienstock, J.L., Blakemore, K.J., Wang, E., Presser, D., Misra, D., Pressman, E.K. (1997) 'Managed care does not lower costs but may result in poorer outcomes for patients with gestational diabetes' *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*, Vol. 177, pp. 1035-7.
- Boss, D.J., Timbrook, R.E. (2001) 'Clinical obstetric outcomes related to continuity in prenatal care', *Journal of the American Board Family Practice*, Vol. 14, pp. 418-423.
- CDC (1998) 'Diabetes during pregnancy: United States, 1993-1995', *MMWR*, Vol. 47, pp.408-414.
- Clausen, T.D., Mathiese, E.R., Hansen, T., Pedersen, O., Jensen, D.M., Lauenborg, J. and Damm, P. (2008) 'High Prevalence of Type 2 Diabetes and Pre-Diabetes in Adult Offspring of Women With Gestational Diabetes Mellitus or Type 1 Diabetes-The role of intrauterine hyperglycemia', *Diabetes Care*, Vol. 31, pp. 340-346.
- Coustan, D.R. and Lewis, S.B. (1978) Insulin therapy for gestational diabetes. *Obstetrics Gynecology*, Vol. 51, pp. 306-310.
- Crowther, C.A., Hiller, J.E., Moss, J.R., McPhee, A.J., Jeffries, W.S., Robinson, J.S. (2005) 'Effect of treatment of gestational diabetes mellitus on pregnancy outcomes', *New England Journal of Medicine*, Vol. 352, pp. 2477-2486.
- Curry, M.A. (1989) 'Nonfinancial barriers to prenatal care', *Women Health*, Vol. 15, pp. 85-99.
- Delgado, R., Gomez, O.M., Bueno, C.A., Galvez, V.R. (1997) 'Unplanned pregnancy as a major determinant in inadequate use of prenatal care', *Preventive Medicine*, Vol. 26, pp. 834-838.
- Diaz, L.M., Dinsmoor, M.J., Lin, P.Y. (2001) 'Preventable risk factors for the delivery of very low birth weight infants in Richmond, Virginia', *Primary Care Update. Obstetrics Gynecology*, Vol. 8, pp. 1-4.
- Early, D.W. (2000) 'The effect of Managed care on the adequacy of prenatal care and on birth outcomes of Medicaid clients', Working paper, 2000.
- Eden, R.D., Penka, A., Britt, D.W., Landsberger, E.J., Evans, M.I. (2005) 'Re-evaluating the role of the MFM specialist: lead, follow, or get out of the way', Vol.18, pp. 253-8.
- Feig, D.S., Palda, V.A. (2002) 'Type 2 diabetes in pregnancy: a growing concern', *Lancet*, Vol. 359, pp. 1690-2.
- Ferrara, A., Kahn, H., Quesenberry, C.P. (2004) 'An increase in the incidence of gestational diabetes mellitus: Northern California, 1991-2000' [published erratum appears in *Obstet Gynecol.* 2004; 103:799]. *Obstetric Gynecology*, Vol. 103, pp. 526-33.
- Fiscella, K. (1995) 'Does prenatal care improve birth outcomes? (A Critical Review)', *Obstetric Gynecology*, Vol. 85, pp. 468-479.
- Garner, P., Okun, N., Keely, E., Wells, G., Perkins, S., Sylvain, J., Belcher, J.A. (1997) 'Randomized controlled trial of strict glycemic control and tertiary level obstetric care versus routine obstetric care in the management of gestational diabetes: A pilot study', *American Journal of Obstetrics Gynecology*, Vol. 177, pp. 190-195.
- Gold, A.E., Reilly, R., Little, J., Walker, J.D. (1998) 'The effect of glycemic control in the preconception period and early pregnancy on birth weight in women with IDDM', *Diabetes Care*, Vol. 21, pp. 535-538.
- González-Quintero, V.H., Istwan, N.B., Rhea, D.J., Rodriguez, L.I., Cotter, A., Carter, J., Mueller, A., Stanziano, G.J. (2007) The Impact of Glycemic Control on Neonatal Outcome in Singleton Pregnancies Complicated by Gestational Diabetes. *Diabetes Care*, Vol. 30, pp. 467-470.
- Günter, H.H., Scharf, A., Hillemanns, P., Wenzlaff, P., Maul, H. (2007) 'Pregnancies without prenatal care-which women are potentially affected, what are the inherent fetal risks?' *Z Geburtshilfe Neonatal.*, Vol. 211, pp. 27-32.

- Hillier, T.A., Pedula, K.L., Schmidt, M.M., Mullen, J.A., Charles, M.A. and David, J., Pettitt, J. (2007) 'Childhood Obesity and Metabolic Imprinting: The ongoing effects of maternal hyperglycemia', *Diabetes Care*, Vol. 30, pp. 2287-2292.
- Kessner, D.M., Signer, J., Kalk, C.E., Schlesinger, E.R. (1973) 'An analysis of live births and infant deaths: New York City, 1968', In: *Contrasts In Health Status Volume I. Infant death: an analysis by maternal risk and health care* (pp. 21-49). Washington D.C.: National Academy of Sciences.
- King H. (1998) 'Epidemiology of glucose intolerance and gestational diabetes in women of childbearing age', *Diabetes Care*, Vol. 21, (Suppl. 2), pp. B9-B13.
- Kogan, M.D., Alexander, G.R., Kotelchuck, M., Nagey, D.A. (1994) 'Relation of the content of prenatal care to the risk of low birth weight- Maternal Reports of health behavior advice and initial prenatal care procedures', *Journal of the American Medical Association*, Vol. 271, pp. 1340-1345.
- Kogan, M.D., Alexander, G.R., Kotelchuck, M., et al. (2000) 'Trends in twin birth outcomes and prenatal care utilization in the United States, 1981-1997', *Journal of the American Medical Association*, Vol. 284, pp. 335-341.
- Kotelchuck, M. (1994) 'An evaluation of the Kessner adequacy of prenatal care index and a proposed adequacy of prenatal care utilization index' *American Journal of Public Health*, Vol. 84, pp. 1414-1420.
- Langer, O., Yogev, Y., Most, O., Xenakis, E.M. (2005) 'Gestational diabetes: the consequences of not treating', *American Journal of Obstetrics Gynecology*, Vol. 192, pp. 989-997.
- Major, C.A., Henry, M.J., De Veciana M, Morgan, M.A. (1998) 'The effects of carbohydrate restriction in patients with diet-controlled gestational diabetes', *Obstetrics Gynecology*, Vol. 91, pp. 600-604.
- Martin, J.A. and Menacker, F. (2007) 'Expanded Health Data from the New Birth Certificate, 2004' CDC, National Vital Statistics Reports, Volume 55, Number 12, pp. 2.
- McDuffie, R.S., Beck, A., Bischoff, K., Cross, J. (1996) 'Orleans M. Effect of frequency of prenatal care visits on perinatal outcome among low-risk women- A randomized controlled trial', *Journal American Medical Association*, Vol. 275, pp. 847-851.
- Menato, G., Simona, B.O., Signorile, A., Gallo, M.L., Cottrino, I. Poala, C.B., Massobrio, M. (2008) 'Current Management of Gestational Diabetes Mellitus' *Expert Review of Obstetrics Gynecology*, Vol. 3, pp. 73-91.
- Metzger BE. (2006) 'The global increase in diabetes: Unique issues for mothers and children', *International Journal of Diabetes in Developing Countries*, Vol. 26 (2), pp.57-62.
- Metzger, B.E. and Coustan, D.R. (1998) 'Summary and recommendations of the Fourth International Workshop Conference on Gestational Diabetes. The Organizing Committee', *Diabetes Care*. 21(Suppl. 2), B161-B167 (1998).
- Meyer, W.J., Carbone, J., Gauthier, D.W., Gottmann, D.A. (1996) 'Early gestational glucose screening and gestational diabetes', *Journal of Reproduction Medicine*, Vol. 41, pp. 675-9.
- Mustard, C.A., Roos, N.P. (1994) 'The relationship of prenatal care and pregnancy complications to birth weight in Winnipeg Canada', *American Journal of Public Health*, Vol. 84, pp. 1450-1457.
- Nahum, G.G., Wilson, S.B., Stanislaw, H. (2002) 'Early pregnancy glucose screening for gestational diabetes mellitus' *Journal of Reproduction Medicine*, Vol.47, pp. 656-62.
- Schmitt, S.K., Sneed, L., Phibbs, C.S. (2006) 'Costs of newborn care in California: A population-based study', *Pediatrics*, Vol. 117, pp. 154-160.
- Seshiah, V., Balaji, V., Balaji, M.S., Paneerselvam, A., Arthi, T., Thamizharasi, M., Datta, M. (2007) 'Gestational diabetes mellitus manifests in all trimesters of pregnancy' *Diabetes Research Clinical Practice* Vol. 77, pp. 482-4.

- Seshiah, V., Balajim V., Balaji, M.S., Paneerselvam, A., Arthi, T., Thamizharasi, M., Datta, M. (2008) 'Prevalence of Gestational Diabetes Mellitus in South India (Tamil Nadu) - A Community Based Study', *JAPI*, Vol. 56, pp. 329-333.
- Shushan, A., Ezra, Y., Samueloff, A. (1997) 'Early treatment of gestational diabetes reduces the rate of fetal macrosomia', *American Journal of Perinatolog*, Vol. 14, pp. 253-256.
- Sloan, F.A., Whetten-Goldstein, K. Githens, P.B. Entman, S.S. (1996) 'Effects of the threat of medical malpractice litigation and other factors on birth outcomes', *Obstetric Gynecology Survey*, Vol. 51, pp.159-160.
- Super, D.M., Edelberg, S.C., Philipson, E.H., Hertz, R.H., Kalhan, S.C. (1991) 'Diagnosis of gestational diabetes in early pregnancy', *Diabetes Care*, Vol. 14, pp. 288-94.
- Thomas, P.T., Golding, J., Peters, T.J. (1991) 'Delayed antenatal care: does it effect pregnancy outcome?' *Social Science Medicine*, Vol. 32, pp. 715-723.
- Tolstoi, L.G., Josimovich, J.B. (1999) 'Gestational diabetes mellitus: Etiology and Management', *Nutrition Today*, Vol. 34, pp.178.
- Tyson, J., Guzick, D., Rosenfeld, C. R., Lasky, R., Gant, N., Jiminez, J., and Heartwell, S. (1990) 'Prenatal care evaluation and cohort analyses', *Pediatrics*, Vol. 85, pp. 195-204.
- UDHHS (1991) 'Healthy People 2000, National Health Promotion and Disease Prevention Objectives', Pub No. 91-50212, (DHHS).
- Waterland, R.A., Garza, C. (1999) 'Potential mechanisms of metabolic imprinting that lead to chronic disease', *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, Vol. 69, pp.179-197.
- Zenk, A.E. (1999) 'Medicaid Births: Prenatal Care Assessment for Medicaid and non-Medicaid Births, 1989-1996', *Alaska Vital Signs Newsletter*. Vol. 1, No. 3 Alaska Department of Health & Social Services, Division of Public Health, Bureau of Vital Statistics.